

REMARKS

This application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action dated August 11, 2005. Claims 51 to 110 remain in the application. Claims 69 to 74, 81 and 99 to 104 have been amended. Claims 51, 57, 63, 69, 75, 81, 87, 93, 99 and 105 are now the independent claims herein. Reconsideration and further examination are respectfully requested.

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the indication that Claims 51 to 68, 75 to 98 and 105 to 110 are allowable.

Additionally, Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the indication that Claims 70 to 74 and 100 to 104 would be allowable if their base claims (Claims 69 and 99, respectively) are amended to overcome substantive rejections under § 101. In this regard, Claims 69 and 99 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101, and without conceding the correctness of the rejections, the preambles of Claims 69 to 74 and 99 to 104 have been amended based on the Examiner's suggested language. Accordingly, the rejections are believed to be obviated.


Claim 99 was also objected to for an informality that has been attended to by amendment.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, the entire application is believed to be in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested at the Examiner's earliest convenience.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Costa Mesa, California office at (714) 540-8700. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below-listed address.

Respectfully submitted,



Edward A. Kmett
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No.: 42,746

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-2200
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

CA_MAIN 103258v1

BEST AVAILABLE COPY